Pharmacognostic Study of Hybanthus Enneaspermus (LINN.)

24-28

Prakash R. Kanthale

PDF

Public Management and Indian E-Governance

*May 23, 2020*

29-33

Dr. Kalpna H. Gharge

PDF

Rejection of Social hierarchy in S.N.Pendse's The Wild Bapu of Garambi

CLIO An Annual Interdisciplinary Journal of History
(UGC CARE Journal)

ISSN: 0976-075X
Vol-6-Issue-8 (1s) April-2020

# Public Management and Indian E-Governance

**Dr. Kalpna H. Gharge**
HOD (Public Administration), Mahila Mahavidyalya, Georai, Member of BOS in Dr. BAMU, Aurangbad

**ABSTRACT**
*In this paper, we've discussed the appliance of ICT in improving internal efficiency of government and remodelling the connection between government and its stakeholders. The theoretical underpinnings of e-governance, as discussed during this paper, come from the New Public Management (NPM). In a New Public Management structure, e-governance places the resident at the core phase by permitting resident involvement in supremacy and creates government more reactive and responsible to residents. This paper deliberates the optimism, propaganda and prospects of e-governance ingenuities by the central and state government, and secluded/ voluntary segments in India. While constructing astute valuation of ICT solicitations in governance, this paper fetches out the challenges confronted in ascending up and nourishing e-governance ingenuities. The vital spat of this paper is that ICT has immense potential to transform governance and empower citizens, and success of e-governance is contingent creation of basic infrastructure, reengineering of processes around residents' necessities, facility of value-added services, and acceptance of feasible commercial models.*

*Keywords: Public administration, e-governance, India, initiatives, ICT*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The hypothetical foundations of e-governance originate from the New Public Management (NPM) which initiated within the late 1970s inside the United Kingdom, Australia and New Zealand, has brushed across other nations meanwhile. NPM, which has been debauched substituting the Old Public Administration pursues to 'reinvent' government through transformation into commercial, professional, mission and vision determined state, which transforms its part from 'rowing' to 'steering'. The two elementary ethics of NPM are managerialism and (Osborne and Gaebler, 1992; Walsh, 1995). Until quite recently, governments were affected by a typical supply-side orientation, wherein developmental priorities were set by notions of the state and centralised planning, and citizens were merely treated as passive recipients or beneficiaries of public services. E-governance has the potential to transform not only the way during which public services are delivered, but also the basic relationship between government and citizens. ICT is seen to be the third wave (Toffler, 1980) leading to the evolution of the e-society. ICT has been applied within the varied 'tools' of state policy (Margetts, 1998, 1999), namely, modality, authority, treasure and organizational capacity (Hood, 1983).

E-governance accentuates the shift from method liability to responsibility in terms of outputs (Hood, 1995), thus altering the traditional notions of accountability in bureaucracy. There is plenty of hope and hype on ICT applications in government. A wise assessment, however, while receiving the latent of ICT, receipts perception of its drawbacks. As an example, the experiences of UK and USA with e-government are replete with samples of high-profile ICT projects that went incurably wrong. For example the introduction of computers within the United Kingdom Social Security agency ran over-budget and resulted in obsolete, inadequate and inflexible systems

## 2. E-GOVERNANCE AS CITIZEN-CENTRIC GOVERNANCE

The NPM proclaimers the revolution of the civilian into a consumer of public services, who recompenses for public services, and hence has choice and thus the exit option (Osborne and Gaebler, 1992; Barzelay and Kaboolian, 1990), and thus the chance to supply feedback on public service delivery (Bellamy and Taylor, 1998. As customers, they purchase private goods from markets; as clients, they consume professional services like health care; as citizens they're entitled to certain rights; and as subjects, they receive protection. Citizens are active participants in service-delivery and co-producers of policy. E-governance involves the next functions for citizens (Malick and Murthy, 2001):

Providing information to the citizen through one source of knowledge, optimising the resources of

ISSN: 0976-075X
Vol-6-Issue-8 (1s) April-2020

multiple organisations, creating economies of scale for informatics and distribution, inter-government participation and establishment of utility networks.

Providing depiction to the peoples by creating selected legislatures more available and augmenting their utilities in e-government.

Improving citizens' voice by stimulating debate, exchange of ideas and thus the resultant feedback for qualitative improvement within the delivery system.

Improving citizen's participation by promoting two-way communication, participatory decision making, refining obtainability of services, and evolving a structure for public info and response.

**3.1 National E-governance Plan;**

In the early 1990s, the Central Governmental Reforms Committee indorsed practise of E-governance as an interface between the state and therefore the citizen so on improve efficiency, transparency and reliability of public service delivery. The midterm appraisal of the Ninth Plan and therefore the approach paper of the Tenth Plan have mourned on the weakening in supremacy progressions. The NeGP (National E-Governance Plan), conceived in mid-2003, by the Department of data Technology (DIT) and therefore the Department of Administrative Reforms and Public Grievances (DAR&PG), is aims to enhance speed, reliability, accessibility and transparency within the delivery of varied public services to citizens and businesses. NeGP is based on a 'centralised planning and decentralised implementation' approach NeGP is meant to function a binding thread for all e-governance initiatives undertaken by various states and line departments. In terms of the entire number of state websites, India ranks seventh within the global list (Norris, 2001).

In sight of ground realities, thus, NeGP appears to be over ambitious within the foreseeable future. NeGP, whose timeframe for implementation as originally specified was 2003-2007, became cabinet consent on 18 May 2006. Government of India is emerging because the fourth largest vertical spender on Information Technology after telecom, manufacturing, and banking and financial sectors (IT for change, 2003). We presume that Gyan Prakash and Avantika Singh / a replacement Public Management Perspective in Indian E-Governance Initiatives finance wouldn't be a constraint to place necessary infrastructure in situ, the emergent digital divide3, and the existing social divides and illiteracy (not only reading and writing but also computer skills) could undermine the success of NeGP. It's yet to be seen how the introduction of e-governance will make the administration more transparent, efficient and market-oriented. Within the new system favouritism and bribery might prevail, with the genesis of a replacement genre of intermediaries (World Bank, 2008). Therefore, e-Governance unaccompanied can't fetch liability, transparency, and exploitation free civilisation. It's to be accompanied by institutional change at a macro level.

**3.2 E-governance Initiatives by State Governments**

However all States have engaged e-governance ingenuities in some amount, the notable ones comprise Andhra Pradesh, Gujarat, Karnataka, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, New Delhi and Tamil Nadu . A case in point is Andhra Pradesh that features a rich experience of e-governance and ICT for development projects. Each ministry within the State initiated several pilot projects because the then Chief Minister took active interest in harnessing the facility of ICT for governance and development. Single window Integrated Citizen Services Centres (ICSCs) were formed through which peoples could access data from government, pay utility bills and property taxes, get certificates and licenses, and receive information concerning building licences, possessions registration and conveyance measures (Schware, 2000).

However, each project was designed round the information needs of the actual ministry instead of that of the citizens (inside out perspective). The experience suggests that there's a requirement to first determine citizens' needs and then design the system during a citizen-centric manner (outside in perspective). Further, a backbone architecture connecting various ministries and districts could lead on to seamless integration and enable service delivery through one window4. The difficulty in handling a public sector environment is having the ability to live outcomes during a meaningful ways, leading to a reduced scope in applying concepts derived from the private sector.

ISSN: 0976-075X
Vol-6-Issue-8 (1s) April-2020

### 3.3 E-Governance Initiatives by Private/ Voluntary Sectors

Besides the initiatives taken by the Union government and various State governments, several e-governance initiatives are taken at local level – started by private/ voluntary sector entities in partnership with district government. One such project, Gyandoot, provides e-government also as e-commerce services to people living in rural areas of Madhya Pradesh ( Mihra et al., 2001). Initially there was tons of hype on the potential of Gyandoot to use ICT for development of rural masses. The critical factors liable for the success of Gyandoot include leadership, champions for change, cost sharing between government and kiosk owner, and specialise in citizens' needs. The challenges that Gyandoot faced include:

Deprived structure in terms of electricity and net, recurrent transmissions of government administrators, overview of ICT without reengineering processes, and deficiency of fiscal sustainability (Sanjay and Gupta, 2004).

Another common ICT ingenuity, n-Logue, endorsed by Indian Institute of Technology Madras, has developed viable and scaleable business models supported a three-tier franchise model and cost-effective

3 there's much mention the digital divide, truth divide is that the social divide (in terms of gender, literacy and caste) that tends to push and reinforce the disparities.

4 As informed by a senior bureaucrat of data Technology Department, Government of Andhra Pradesh.

## 4. OVERCOMING THE CHALLENGES;

The outline and execution of ICT in government is apprehensive with numerous encounters, specifically, technical, structural and institutional. These challenges arise from the growing inter-dependencies between government organisations thanks to e-government, and therefore the emergence of inter-organisational networks (Snellen, 2005).

### 4.1 Technical Challenges

Technical challenges might be overcome by implementing measures at three levels, namely, intra-organisational, intra-sectoral and inter-sectoral, as illustrated in figure 1. Intra-organisational and intra-sectoral subjects are fretful with info distribution, intra-sectoral matters are concerned with package distribution and consumer registration, and inter-sectoral matters are concerned with complete info construction. At the intra-organisational and intra-sectoral level, matters allied with electronic allocation of information are to be determined.

These comprise, among others, meaning of collective data, active work progressions, technical standards and protocols, quality of knowledge, security of knowledge, control over data sharing, cost of shared facilities, and object identification and numbering. The second level deals with transforming e-government to make it customer-oriented and citizen-centric. the problems that require to be addressed herein include developing one-stop-shops or single window-type of portals that provide a variety of services to the citizen, managing the content on websites to incorporate information on rights, obligations, procedures, contacts, recurrently asked interrogations and response, evolving systems for empathy and verification of transactions, and initiatives with reference to freedom of data. The third level is said to the exchange and use of data between different sectors of state, for e.g., health, education, employment and civil supplies. While each would have sector-specific information requirements, they would even be required to store common information, like that concerning demographics. The challenge then is the way to integrate the disparate databases and achieve consistency between them by overarching information architecture (Snellen, 2005).

### 4.2 Organisational Challenges;

One such organisational change is standardisation, which helps eliminate redundancies in processes, data, and organisations (Fountain, 2001). Reorganisation poses challenges like loss of control, lack of feeling of ownership, myopic view of technical experts and inability to know societal problems, and inertia (Homburg, 1999). The bureaucratic structure of state, with clearly demarcated roles and responsibilities, vertical and horizontal separation of powers, and hierarchical data structure, is a smaller amount amenable to ICT applications and interconnectedness due to its immobility and

ISSN: 0976-075X
Vol-6-Issue-8 (1s) April-2020

inflexibility.

## 5. CONCLUSION

There is tons of hope and hype on the potential of e-governance to rework the interior efficiency of government and therefore the relationship of state with stakeholders. E-governance provides an Allen compassing framework comprising e-administration, e-citizens, e-services and e-society. The New Public Management principles provide a useful conceptual framework to review e-governance. E-governance is an enabler for NPM sort of government because it supports outcome orientation, customer centricity, decentralisation, participative management, and repair delivery through marketisation. It makes information exchanges faster, deeper and cheaper, thereby improving the interior efficiency of state. In developed countries, the utilization of Internet by government is more for dissemination of information or two-way communication (such as emails). The utilization of Internet by government for service delivery, financial transactions, enterprise integration, and political participation is restricted. India has achieved several milestones within the development of an e-governance framework for the country. However, certain basic problems exist that pose threats to the sustainability and scaling from e-governance initiatives in India. Though, utmost fail to fraction and/or reproduce early feat story. Experiences with ICT for expansion missions propose that most missions athwart the nation have endured yonder their experimental stage but agonised from hitches when scaled up due to lack of killer applications, unviable business models and therefore the inability to deal with the existing divides in society. In the e-governance hype, substantive issues got to be addressed. The key lessons to be learnt from the e-governance.

## REFERENCES


- Barzelay, M., and Kaboolian, L. (1990), Structural Metaphors and Public Management Education. Journal of Policy Analysis and Management. 9: 4. pp. 599-610.
- Bekkers, V.J.J.M. (1998) Wiring Public Organisations and Changing Organizational Jurisdictions. In Snellen,
- I., and Van de Donk, W.B.H.J. (eds.) Public Administration in an Information Age: A Handbook. Amsterdam: IOS Press. pp. 57-77.
- Bekkers V., and Korteland E. (2005) Governance, ICT and the Modernization Agenda of Public Administration: A Comparison of Some European Policy Initiatives. European Group of Public Administration. Bern. September 1-2.
- Bellamy, C., and Taylor, J. (1998) Governing in the Information Age, Buckingham: Open University Press.
- Cook, B.J. (1998) Politics, Political Leadership, and Public Management. Public Administration Review. 58:3. pp. 225-230.
- Dunleavy, P., and Hood, C. (1994) From Old Public Administration to New Public Management. Public Money and Management. 14: 3. pp. 9-16.
- Dunleavy, P. and Margetts, H. (2000) The Advent of Digital Government: Public Bureaucracies and the State in the Internet Age. Annual Conference of the American Political Science Association. Omni Shoreham Hotel, Washington. September 4.
- Dunleavy, P., Margetts H., Bastow, S., Tinkler, J., and Yared H. (2001) Policy Learning and Public Sector Information Technology: Contractual and E-Government Changes in the UK, Australia and New Zealand. American Political Science Association's Annual Confernce 2001. Hilton Hotel, San Francisco. August 28 -September 1.
- Fountain, J.E. (2001) Building the Virtual State: Information Technology and Institutional Change. Washington, D.C: Brookings Institution.
- Frissen, P. (1995) The Virtual State: Postmodernization, Information and Public Administration. Governance of Cyberspace Conference. University of Teeside. April 12-13.

ISSN: 0976-075X
Vol-6-Issue-8 (1s) April-2020


- Ghere, R.K. and Young, B.A. (1998) The Cyber-Management Environment: Where Technology and Ingenuity Meet Public Purpose and Accountability. Public Administration and Management: An Interactive Journal. 3: 1. Available at: http://www.pamij.com/gypaper.html.
- Hirschman, A.O. (1970) Exit, Voice and Loyalty: Responses to Declines in Firms, Organizations, and States. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.




198